



Usability Evaluation Report

PROTOCOL NO:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE NO.:

Usability Evaluation Report

TITLE:	
AUTHORING GROUP:	
DATE:	
SUPERSEDE PROTOCOL NO.:	



Usability Evaluation Report

PROTOCOL NO:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE NO.:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sr. No.	Contents	Page No.
1	Relevant Processes	
2	Related Documents	
3	Summary of Formative Evaluations	
	3.1 Formative Evaluation 1	
4	Summary of Summative Evaluation	
	4.1 Methods	
	4.2 Overview over Tests	
	4.3 Pass/Fail counts of Tests	
	4.4 Use Errors and Hazards	
	4.5 Information for Safety	
	4.6 Other Findings	
5	Qualitative Participant Feedback	
6	Conclusion	

Usability Evaluation Report

The Usability Evaluation report describes the results of all (formative and summative) Usability Evaluations.

Mapping of Standard Requirements to Document Sections

IEC 62366-1:2015 Section	Title	Document Section
4.1.3	Information for Safety as it relates to Usability	4.4
4.2	Usability Engineering File	(all)

1. Relevant Processes

Usability Engineering and Evaluation activities are defined in the **SOP Integrated Software Development**.

2. Related Documents

- List of Hazard-Related Use Scenarios
- Usability Evaluation Report

3. Summary of Formative Evaluations

3.1 Formative Evaluation 1

4. Summary of Summative Evaluation

4.1 Methods

Copy-paste this section from the Usability Evaluation Plan (5.2 Planning (Setting)).

4.2 Overview over Tests

ID	Description	App State / Environment	Instructions	Acceptance Criteria	Observations	Hazards encountered
----	-------------	----------------------------	--------------	------------------------	--------------	------------------------



Usability Evaluation Report

PROTOCOL NO:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

PAGE NO.:

4.3 Pass/Fail Counts of Tests

Count the pass/fail results from the Usability Evaluation Protocol

4.4 Use Errors and Hazards

Test ID	Description	Use Error	Potential Hazard
---------	-------------	-----------	------------------

5.5 Information for Safety

Describe whether information for safety could correctly be perceived and understood by the users and whether it supported the correct use of the medical device.

5. Qualitative Participant Feedback

6. Conclusion